

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

			STATES OF 1	Washin	gton, D.C. 20231		Ech
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMI	ED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DO	OCKET NO.
	08/981,665	11/05/97	7 CIPKOWSKI		S	3000	
Г			HM12/04	27 7	EXAMINER		
	EDMUND M	JASKIEWICZ			GRUI	V, J	
	1730 M STF	REET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER	NUMBER
	SUITE 400 WASHINGTON	DC 20036	٠.		164:	1	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

04/27/00

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/981,665

**CIPKOWSKI** 

Examiner

James L. Grun, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1641



ТН	E PE	RIOD FOR RESPONS	E: [check only a) or b)]							
	a) [	expires	months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	b) [	expires either three is later. In no event rejection.	nonths from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final							
	date	on which the response,	ne obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ne originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
X	App peri	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>13 Dec 1999</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).								
Ap but	plica is N	ant's response to the NOT deemed to place	final rejection, filed on <u>21 Mar 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, the application in condition for allowance:							
X	The	proposed amendmen	t(s):							
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.  will not be entered because:										
						they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).				
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).										
	ed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the									
issues for appeal.  they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:										
								Applicant's response	has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Nev sep	wly proposed or ame parate, timely filed an	nded claims would be allowable if submitted in a gendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.							
X	for <u>Ap</u>	allowance because: plicant's arguments t	request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition vere unpersuasive for reasons of record that the devices to be carried in the holder of Boger et used and Applicant clearly admits that Sun et al teach lateral flow immunoassay test devices to be carried in the holder of Boger et							
	to	the art at the eaffidavit or exhibit to examiner in the final	time of filing the instant application.  VIII NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by							
X	For	purposes of Appeal,	the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
			orrection filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.							
	No	te the attached Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)							
	Oth	ner	Christoph L. Chin							
			CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 1641							